1	2	3
Haryana	Extension of Delhi Metro to Faridabad Total length - 13.875 km. Estimated completion cost - Rs.2028crs.	Comments on DPR sent to Government of Haryana and Delhi Metro Rail Corporation (DMRC) for compliance. The proposal is yet to be recommended by GNCTD.
Uttar Pradesh	Extension of Delhi Metro to Noida Total length - 7 kms. Estimated completion cost Rs.840.56crs.	Approved 'in principle" by Union Government on subject to clearance by GNCTD and other conditions by Government of Uttar Pradesh.
Tamil Nadu	Chennai Metro: Total length of 50 kms. in the following two corridors :- (i) Tollgate to Chennai Airport-27.5 km. (ii) Chennai Fort to Guindy - 22.5 km. Estimated completion cost-Rs.9347 cr.	The proposal of Tamil Nadu Government has been recommended for inclusion in the Rolling Plan for Japanese Bank for International Cooperation.
West Bengal	Kolkatta Metro: East-West Metro Corridor Howrah Station-to Salt Lake Sector V. Total Length - 13.77 kms. (8 km. underground and 5.7 km elevated). Estimated completion cost Rs. 5068 cr.	The proposal of Government of West Bengal has been examined and same has been forwarded to the Planning Commission for 'in-principle' approval.

Regularisation of unauthorised constructions

1963. SHRI BASHISTHA NARAIN SINGH: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government through a Public Notice dated 12th August, 2007 have allowed regularization of unauthorized construction under the Master Plan 2021 upto the second floor for constructions in Delhi;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the Master Plan 2021, allows regularisation of the thirdfloor also;

(d) if so, the provisions in the Master Plan in this regard;

(e) the reasons for the third floor not being regularised; and

(f) the steps Government propose to take to rectify this anomaly at the earliest and bring relief of lakhs of third floor owners allover Delhi?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) The Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD), through a public notice dated 12th August, 2007 has allowed regularisation of

individual floors (upto second floor and including basement) as per the permissible norms under the Master Plan for Delhi (MPD)-2021 on payment of prescribed fee.

(c) to (f) MPD-2021, notified on 7.2.2007, *inter alia,* prescribes the Development Control Norms for buildings in residential plotted housing on different size of plots in respect of maximum ground coverage, FAR and maximum height of the building as 15 metres. The permissible ground coverage, FAR, and maximum height restriction of 15 metres, subject to fulfilment of other terms and conditions, determines the number of floors which could be constructed on a given size of plot.

Within these norms prescribed in MPD 2021, plot owners/allottees seeking regularization of construction in terms of additional coverage/additional height are required to pay a penalty, compounding charges and betterment levy or additional FAR charges as well as special compounding charges at the rates notified by Government for this purpose. However, this is subject to orders of the Supreme Court on the matter. The Supreme Court in W.P. No. 4677 of 1985 in the case of M.C. Mehta *vs.* Union of India and others *vide* its Order dated 7.5.2007 directed MCD not to permit construction of additional floor. Subsequently, Supreme Court *vide* its order dated 23.7.2007, modified its earlier order dated 7.5.2001 clarifying that the prohibition *vide* orders dated 7.5.2007 related to sanctions that were being granted and not intended to cover cases where constructions were permissible on the basis of the 1990 (2001) Master plan as modified by the modifications in 1998. MPD 2021 is under consideration of Supreme Court.

Land to **schools on concessional rates**

1964. SHRI JAI PARKASH AGGARWAL: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of defaulter schools that had been allotted land by Delhi Development Authority on the condition of free education but have not fulfilled the same;

(b) whether the Delhi Development Authority has taken any action against such defaulter schools which have violated this condition and which were sanctioned land on concessional rates on the basis of social purpose; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) to (c) Delhi Development Authority (DDA) has reported that the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (GNCTD) (Education Department) forwarded to DDA a list of 93 defaulter schools who did not comply with the terms of allotment of providing freeship seats to the children belonging to economically weaker sections. DDA issued show cause notices to all these defaulters.

A list of defaulter schools was again forwarded by GNCTD to the DDA for the academic session 2005-06. In the meanwhile, the matter was seized of by the High Court of Delhi in a Civil Writ. Petition No. 3156/2002 and therefore no notices were issued by DDA to these schools.

In view, of the above Court case, no list of defaulter schools was forwarded by GNCTD to DDA after 2005-2006 academic session. Delhi High Court in the above